

On the Suboptimality of Equidistant Codes Meeting the Plotkin Bound

Po-Ning Chen and Hsuan-Yin Lin

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
National Chiao Tung University (NCTU)
Hsinchu, Taiwan
qpning@mail.nctu.edu.tw, lin.hsuanyn@ieee.org

Stefan M. Moser*

ETH Zurich, Switzerland, and Department of
Electrical and Computer Engineering, National Chiao
Tung University (NCTU), Hsinchu, Taiwan
stefan.moser@ieee.org

1 Fair Weak Flip Codes

In this poster, we re-introduce from our previous work a new family of **nonlinear** codes: **fair weak flip codes**. They belong to the class of **equidistant codes**, i.e., they satisfy that any two distinct codewords have identical Hamming distance.

In the case of $M = 5, 6$, we define the following fair weak flip codes:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(5,10)} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(6,10)} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both of them have the following properties:

- Each column's first component is 0 and its Hamming weight equals to $\lfloor \frac{M}{2} \rfloor$ or $\lceil \frac{M}{2} \rceil$.
- They are called *fair* since it is constructed by an **equal number of all possible** such columns (the number is called L).
- Each fair code can be constructed by duplicating $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(M,L)}$ many times.
- The fair weak flip codes have a maximum minimum Hamming distance and achieve the Plotkin bound.
- These codes are **not optimal in the sense of average error probability over the binary symmetric channel (BSC)**.

2 Main Results

Proposition: Consider a BSC of conditional channel probability

$$P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \epsilon & \text{if } y = x, \\ \epsilon & \text{if } y \neq x, \end{cases} \quad x, y \in \{0, 1\}$$

with crossover probability $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. For a fair weak flip code $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(M,n)}$ with a corresponding blocklength, let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{reduced}}^{(M,n-1)}$ be a code that is created from $\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(M,n)}$ by deleting an arbitrary column in the codebook matrix. Then

$$P_c(\mathcal{C}_{\text{fair}}^{(M,n)}) = P_c(\mathcal{C}_{\text{reduced}}^{(M,n-1)})$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{unfair}}^{(M,n)}$ be a code that is created by appending a weak flip column to $\mathcal{C}_{\text{reduced}}^{(M,n-1)}$ such that it is not a fair weak flip code. Then

$$P_c(\mathcal{C}_{\text{unfair}}^{(M,n)}) > P_c(\mathcal{C}_{\text{reduced}}^{(M,n-1)})$$

Theorem: Fair weak flip codes with an arbitrary number of codewords M and with a blocklength n such that $n \bmod L = 0$ are **strictly suboptimal on a BSC**.

References

- [1] P.-N. Chen, H.-Y. Lin, and S. M. Moser, "Weak flip codes and applications to optimal code design on the binary erasure channel," in Proc. 50th Allerton Conf. Commun., Contr. and Comput., Monticello, IL, USA, Oct. 15, 2012.
- [2] P.-N. Chen, H.-Y. Lin, and S. M. Moser, "Optimal ultrasmall block-codes for binary discrete memoryless channels," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 73467378, Nov. 2013.

*This work was supported by the National Science Council under NSC 100-2221-E-009-068-MY3.