

The Fading Number of Multiple-Input Multiple-Output Fading Channels with Memory

Stefan M. Moser*

Department of Communication Engineering
National Chiao Tung University (NCTU)

Hsinchu, Taiwan

Email: stefan.moser@ieee.org

1 The Channel Model

We consider a multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) fading channel:

$$\mathbf{Y}_k = \mathbb{H}_k \mathbf{x}_k + \mathbf{Z}_k$$

where

- $\mathbf{Y}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_R}$ denotes the time- k channel output random vector;
- $\{\mathbf{Z}_k\}$ IID $\sim \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes zero-mean, circularly symmetric, white, complex Gaussian noise of variance $\sigma^2 > 0$;
- $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_T}$ denotes the time- k channel input vector satisfying either a peak-power or an average-power constraint \mathcal{E} with signal-to-noise ratio $\text{SNR} \triangleq \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\sigma^2}$;
- \mathbb{H}_k denotes the time- k random $n_R \times n_T$ fading matrix of general (not necessarily Gaussian!) law including memory: we only assume that $\{\mathbb{H}_k\}$ is stationary, ergodic, of finite second moment $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{H}_k\|_{\text{F}}^2] < \infty$, and of finite differential entropy rate $h(\{\mathbb{H}_k\}) > -\infty$ (the *regularity* assumption). The realizations of $\{\mathbb{H}_k\}$ are *unknown* both at transmitter and receiver (*noncoherent situation*).

2 Channel Capacity

We know that for such regular, noncoherent fading channels the capacity grows double-logarithmically at very high power ($\text{SNR} \rightarrow \infty$):

$$C(\text{SNR}) = \log(1 + \log(1 + \text{SNR})) + \chi(\{\mathbb{H}_k\}) + o(1)$$

where $o(1)$ tends to zero as $\text{SNR} \rightarrow \infty$ and where χ is a constant called **fading number** that is independent of the SNR.

*This work was supported by the Industrial Technology Research Institute (ITRI), Zhudong, Taiwan, under JRC NCTU-ITRI and by the National Science Council, Taiwan, under NSC 95-2221-E-009-046.

3 Main Results

Theorem 1. *Assume some general stationary channel model with input $\mathbf{x}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_T}$ and output $\mathbf{Y}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n_R}$. Then under very mild conditions on the channel law and apart from edge effects the capacity-achieving input distribution can be assumed to be stationary.*

Lemma 2. *The capacity-achieving input process of the channel model in Section 1 can be assumed to be circularly symmetric, i.e., the input $\{\mathbf{X}_k\}$ can be replaced by $\{\mathbf{X}_k e^{i\Theta_k}\}$, where $\{\Theta_k\}$ is IID $\sim \mathcal{U}([0, 2\pi])$ and independent of every other random quantity.*

Theorem 3. *The MIMO fading number with memory $\chi(\{\mathbb{H}_k\})$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\{\mathbb{H}_k\}) &= \sup_{\substack{Q_{\{\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k\}} \\ \text{stationary} \\ \text{circ. sym.}}} \left\{ h_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\mathbb{H}_0 \hat{\mathbf{X}}_0}{\|\mathbb{H}_0 \hat{\mathbf{X}}_0\|} \left| \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{H}_{\ell} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\ell}}{\|\mathbb{H}_{\ell} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\ell}\|} \right\}_{\ell=-\infty}^{-1} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n_R \mathbb{E} \left[\log \|\mathbb{H}_0 \hat{\mathbf{X}}_0\|^2 \right] - \log 2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - h(\mathbb{H}_0 \hat{\mathbf{X}}_0 \mid \{\mathbb{H}_{\ell} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{\ell}\}_{\ell=-\infty}^{-1}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{-\infty}^0) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where the maximization is over all stochastic unit-vector processes $\{\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k\}$ that are stationary and circularly symmetric.

Moreover, the fading number is achievable by a stationary input that can be expressed as a product of two independent processes: $\mathbf{X}_k = R_k \cdot \hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$. Here $\{\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k\} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_T}$ is a stationary and circularly symmetric unit-vector process with the probability distribution that maximizes the fading number, and $\{R_k\} \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a scalar nonnegative IID random process such that

$$\log R_k^2 \sim \mathcal{U}([\log \log \mathcal{E}, \log \mathcal{E}]).$$